

West Orange Zoning Board of Adjustment
Township of West Orange
66 Main Street
West Orange, New Jersey 07052

DR Project No.: 12210-001
February 24, 2025
Revised September 05, 2025
Revised January 09, 2026
Revised March 06, 2026

**Re: JUSTIFICATIONS OF VARIANCES
PROPOSED MIXED COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT
470 EAGLE ROCK AVENUE (BLOCK 153, LOT 38)
TOWNSHIP OF WEST ORANGE, ESSEX COUNTY, NEW JERSEY**

An application has been filed for the above noted property with the West Orange Zoning Board of Adjustment for the approval of a Preliminary and Final Major Site Plan with a d(3) conditional use variance for a fast food restaurant and approval of bulk “c” variances for minimum lot area for drive-up window, maximum impervious coverage, location of parking in front yard, maximum number of façade signs, maximum façade sign area, and tree canopy preservation. The subject property is located in the B-2 General Business (“B-2”) District.

Revised version 4.0 of this report considers completed testimony and project changes based on the following documents:

- Revised civil plans by Dynamic Engineering dated 11.25.2025
- Revised traffic impact study by Dynamic Engineering dated 12.04.2025
- Board Planner’s Report version 5.0 dated 12.12.2025

New and/or revised text is ***purple, bolded and italicized***

I. PROPERTY DESCRIPTION

The subject property is a corner lot identified as Tax Lot 38 on Block 153 fronting Eagle Rock Avenue to the north and Rosney Terrace to the west. The subject property has a total lot area of 45,713 square feet (1.05 acres), approximately 248 feet of frontage along Eagle Rock Avenue, and approximately 182 feet of frontage along Rosney Terrace. The property is currently improved with a vacant 1-story frame building that was previously a commercial use (formerly known as China Gourmet).

II. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The purpose of this application is to ***adaptively reuse*** the existing building and develop the subject property with a new 1-story commercial building with 2 individual (but attached) restaurants with separate entrances. The subject property will be developed to accommodate one dine-in restaurant and a fast-food restaurant with a

drive-up window. The proposed project will also include 48 surface parking spaces along all sides of the subject property that include 1 EV parking space, signage for both restaurants, as well as landscaping along Eagle Rock Avenue, Rosney Terrace, and at the rear of the property.

III. CONDITIONAL USE

Per §25-24.2.b.2(i), the B-2 District permits fast food restaurants as a conditional use subject to the following;

1. A site plan, prepared by a New Jersey licensed architect or engineer, shall be submitted to the Planning or Zoning Board, as required by this chapter and state law, and the plan shall show all standards, established herein for fast food restaurant operation as a conditional use, have been satisfied.

- A site plan of the proposed project from an NJ licensed engineer is submitted to the Zoning Board.

Project complies.

2. No part of any building or structure used as a fast-food restaurant nor any driveway entrance or exit to or from the same shall be located within 500 feet of any line of any lot upon which is located another fast-food restaurant.

- The Township Zoning Code designates fast-food restaurant as the following:

***"FAST FOOD RESTAURANT** Shall mean the retail sale of ready-to-eat foods and beverages for on and/or off premises consumption, whenever the foods and beverages are available upon a short waiting time, and orders are placed by the customer at a counter, and primarily served in or on disposable wrappers, containers or plates, and where there is a seating capacity of more than 15 individuals. This does not include restaurants which have "take-out" as accessory to a traditional restaurant."*

- The driveway on the subject property serving the fast-food use is located approximately 360 feet from the driveway to a shopping center housing a Jersey Mike's Subs and Chipotle, which appear to qualify as fast-food restaurants per the Zoning Code's definition. It should be noted that neither of these establishments have a drive-thru window. **Project does not meet the condition.**

3. No part of any building or structure used as a fast-food restaurant shall be located within 1,000 feet of any residential district boundary line

- The subject property and the proposed fast-food use abuts the R-6 residential zone to the south (rear property line) and the west (fronting Rosney Terrace). The properties to the north and east of the subject property are located within the B-2 and P-C zones. **Project does not meet the condition.**

4. The minimum size for any lot upon which any fast-food restaurant is located shall be 30,000 square feet, and the minimum street frontage of such lot shall be 200 feet. If a fast-food restaurant is located on a corner lot, the minimum street frontage on each street shall be 200 feet.

- The lot area meets the required threshold at 45,713 square feet and the lot width along Eagle Rock Avenue meets the required 200 feet at 223.45 feet. However, the frontage along Rosney Terrace has an existing width of 182.41 feet. **Project does not meet the condition.**

5. Combined entrance and exit driveways to and from any lot upon which is located a fast-food restaurant shall have an unrestricted width of not less than 24 feet nor more than 30 feet, shall be located not nearer than 20 feet to any lot line and shall be so designed to avoid the need for any existing vehicle to back across or into any portion of a public sidewalk or street. One-way entrance or exit driveways shall have a minimum width of 18 feet.

- The widths of the two combined entrance and exit driveways are 30 feet. The western driveway is located approximately 28.4 feet from the property lot line and the eastern driveway is located approximately 30.58 feet from the side property line. No one-way entrance or exit driveways are proposed. **Project complies.**

6. The minimum distance between driveways on a lot upon which is located a fast-food restaurant shall be 100 feet, measured from the two closest driveway curbs.

- The distance between the driveways from the two closest driveway curbs is approximately 128.2 feet. **Project complies.**

7. The minimum distance of any driveway into the street, of a lot upon which a fast-food restaurant is located, from a street intersection shall be 100 feet, measured from the nearest end of the curb radius of the intersection to the nearest end of the curb radius of the driveway.

- The curb radius of the western driveway is located approximately 13.2 feet from the Rosney Terrace intersection. **Project does not meet the condition.**

8. *All fast-food restaurants shall provide suitable areas for storage of trash, designed and constructed to allow no view of the trash storage from the street, to prevent trash from blowing around the site onto adjacent properties or public rights-of-way and to permit safe removal of trash.*

- The proposed trash storage located toward the southeast corner of the property will consist of 3 angled 10 feet x 10 feet masonry enclosures and a **board on board** gate **setback 33.4 feet from the rear property line**. **Project complies.**

9. *All fast-food restaurants shall provide parking in accordance with § 25-12.2b.*

- Both fast food restaurants and restaurants have the same parking standard of 1 space for each 3 seats or stools. The fast-food restaurant offers 18 seats and the restaurant offers 88 seats. Per the standard a minimum of 36 parking spaces are required. The project proposes 48 parking spaces. **Project complies.**

10. *Any lot on which is located a fast-food restaurant must comply with the front yard, side yard, and rear yard requirements for the B-1 or B-2 District in which the lot is located*

- The proposed fast-food restaurant complies with the front, side, and rear yard requirements for the B-2 District. **Project complies.**

IV. VARIANCE JUSTIFICATION AND PROOFS

D(3) Conditional Use Variance: The proposed project does not meet several of the conditional use requirements as outlined above. Accordingly, the project necessitates a conditional use variance pursuant to N.J.S.A. 40:55D-70.d(3). The Board of Adjustment has jurisdiction to grant such variance based on the proofs as established in *Coventry Square vs. Westwood Zoning Board of Adjustment*, 138NJ285 (1994). The New Jersey Supreme Court noted that conditional uses, even when they do not meet with all of the requisite conditions, are nonetheless compatible uses in the zone. The burden of proof is related to whether the site can accommodate the problems or impacts associated with the use even though the conditions and ordinances established to address said impacts are not satisfied.

The majority of the conditions not satisfied under the proposed development are from a metric standpoint, wherein the intent of the limitation or requirement are satisfied from an operational or site circulation standpoint despite a specific numerical threshold not being met.

- Regarding Condition 2, the intent of the ordinance seems to be to prevent a given corridor from being dominated by the fast-food use wherein regular curb cuts and heavier/irregular traffic flows associated

with drive-thru functions may accumulate and result in collective negative impacts along the corridor as a whole. While there are other restaurants that meet the Town's definition of fast-food within 500 feet of the subject property, neither of the noted uses have a drive-thru function and both are consolidated within one larger strip-mall property that is significantly set back from the right-of-way and has multiple access driveways along multiple corridors, therefore mitigating potential traffic implications that may arise from the proposed fast-food use. The project site is located a sufficient distance (approximately 386 feet) from the existing establishments and provides driveway access from the opposite side of the corridor, therefore no substantially negative impacts to overall corridor circulation are anticipated. Additionally, there are no other existing drive-thru uses within 1,000 feet of the site.

- Similarly, Condition 3 in the ordinance establishes a buffer between fast-food uses and residential zones in what is likely an effort to mitigate impacts of a higher-volume use on a nearby low-density residential zone. While the proposed project abuts a residential zone and use to the south and west (across Rosney Terrace), the project does not provide any curb cuts or access points on the Rosney Terrace right-of-way in order to maintain efficient and lower traffic volumes on the residential street. Additionally, the queuing for the proposed drive-thru is set back from the rear property line by approximately **24.33 to 28.67** feet and is buffered from the rear property line by landscape screening and a bypass lane so that temporarily idling cars do not result in an increase in noise or light pollution to the neighboring properties. *Where the adjacent single family structure is located on Lot 1 to the south, the revised landscape buffer has been increased to 17.17 feet at the rear property line.*
- The project partially satisfies Condition 4 in that it greatly exceeds the minimum lot area requirement and meets (and exceeds) the 200-foot frontage requirement along Eagle Rock Avenue. However, the subject property does not provide 200 feet of frontage along the Rosney Terrace right-of-way, where the current lot has 182.41 feet of frontage. Again, the intent of this requirement is likely to ensure frontages for this use can accommodate the necessary curb-cuts for a fast-food operation without creating detrimental impacts on traffic flows and circulation patterns. The total lot frontage between both streets is in excess of 400 feet (the combined frontage requirement for 2 rights-of-way), where the existing total is 405.86 feet between the two rights-of-way. Additionally, as there are no curb-cuts proposed on the deficient frontage along Rosney Terrace, the project does not create negative impacts on traffic flows for this street and therefore the intent of the requirement is preserved through the proposed site design.

- The final condition, Condition 7, that the proposed project does not satisfy is the 100-foot setback of a driveway for a fast-food use from an intersection. As fast-food uses typically front commercial corridors, it is likely that the condition was considered as a measure to prevent egress into/out of a fast-food site from disrupting the flow of traffic from an active or high-volume intersection, as it is common to see fast-food uses proposed at the corner of multiple active commercial roadways. Impacts on intersections with timed traffic signals could conceivably be detrimental should the interior site circulation not accommodate a significant enough queue to bring cars quickly out of the main right-of-way. Despite not having a sufficient setback from the intersection per the requirement, the site provides substantial room for queuing of the drive-thru as it allows vehicles to wrap around the back of the building in order to access this function. The formal drive-thru lane accommodates 8 cars with room for up to an additional **5 cars** in the western drive aisle without any disruption to entrance/exit movements at the egress point/curb cut. *The application has been revised throughout hearings to designate a pull-up space in front of the drive-through lane in the event that a customer's order is taking longer than average to prepare. This further alleviates potential queuing conflicts by ensuring drive-through traffic can move efficiently without substantial delay/interruption.*

Additionally, as previously stated, the road intersecting the commercial corridor is Rosney Terrace - a lower volume street serving a single-family residential neighborhood that is not signalized and allows vehicles to make turns freely onto Eagle Rock Avenue. The lack of setback of the proposed driveway is not likely to create substantial impacts to the flow of traffic from this street and therefore the intent of the condition is not undermined by the proposed site design. It is also worth noting that the project proposes to reuse the two existing curb-cuts and driveways for the site. The site has operated with said non-conforming curb cut for a restaurant use previously without any known nuisance or substantial detriment to general welfare and safety.

V. Bulk "c" Variances

The project requests bulk "c" variances for maximum impervious coverage, location of parking at the front yard, maximum number of façade signs, and maximum façade sign area, concrete curbing within 5 feet of a structure, minimum landscape abutting a residential zone, loading space requirements and tree replacement requirements which may be granted pursuant to N.J.S.A. 40:55D-70.c.(2), wherein the benefits of the proposed project would substantially outweigh any detriments.

Minimum Lot Area for Drive-Up Window: §25-11.14.j of the West Orange Zoning Code requires that;

“Any restaurant [containing a drive-up window] must be situated on property consisting of five (5) or more acres and provide ample queuing to ensure that no waiting vehicles are in or on a public thoroughfare or street.”

****Version 4.0 of this report provides an update to this analysis based on updated data available through the State’s GIS Office as of January 2026. Methodology for the analysis remains the same, but additional parcels have been identified due to more complete datasets.**

The subject property has a total lot area of 1.05 acres and therefore requires relief from the lot area minimum specific to the drive-through operation. The proposed project complies with the remaining 10 criteria in this section of the code.

Dresdner Robin conducted a GIS analysis of West Orange parcels and found that ~~only 27~~ **106** parcels in the Township borders meet this lot area criteria in terms of size alone. We then analyzed the eligible properties for underlying zoning districts to identify sites that permit restaurant, fast food, and retail units, specifically the B-1, B-2, R-G, O-R and P-C Districts. ~~Only two~~ **This analysis identified 9 parcels** that make the minimum lot area of 5 acres are zoned for uses that would allow a drive-up window such as a bank, pharmacy, or fast-food use (encompassing a range of full food menu such as a McDonalds to beverage-oriented restaurants such as Starbucks). **Of the 9 eligible parcels, 3 are located in the B-2 zone, which is the only district where fast food drive-up windows in particular are (conditionally) permitted, leaving eligible parcels in the Township with a path to fast-food drive-up implementation without a d(1) use variance.** Parcel #13 identified in the Table 1 on page **8** of this report is already developed as a garden apartment complex (aka: Westmill Gardens) which has no existing retail or restaurant uses. The only other remaining eligible parcel as-of-right in the Township is Parcel 4, (aka: the Whole Foods Plaza at 235 Prospect Avenue) which is located directly across Eagle Rock Avenue from the subject property. Given the proximity and identical contextual physical conditions (outside of lot area), the proposed use is appropriate along the Eagle Rock Avenue corridor and appropriateness is not solely defined in terms of welfare, safety, and intent of zone plan by lot area. It should also be noted that this provision renders many existing businesses providing drive-up windows within the Township as non-conforming, including the Provident Bank (Block 153.14 Lots 31, 32, 33) located 1 parcel east of the subject property and the CVS (Tax Block 153.16, Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 25, 26, 27) located 3 parcels west of the subject property.

-----**SEE TABLE 1 ON FOLLOWING PAGE**-----

TABLE 1: DRIVE-UP PARCEL ANALYSIS : WEST ORANGE TOWNSHIP

BLOCK	LOT	ADDRESS	AREA (ACRE)	AREA (SF)
160.01	30	66 MAIN STREET	20.715	902,345
82.01	1	120 NORTHFIELD AVENUE	44.501	1,938,464
59.04	1.01	120 NORTHFIELD AVENUE	10.57	460,429
80.02	35	14 RIDGE RD	10.17	443,005
80.03	10	PO BOX 1874	5.8	252,648
80.03	21	PO BOX 1874	6.967	303,483
82.03	27	PO BOX 2447	14.91	649,480
80.03	36	2 EXECUTIVE DRIVE #430	12.08	526,205
98	1	1 PARK WAY	16.77	730,501
104	10	45 MOUNTAIN AVENUE	9.91	431,680
97	24.02	280 PARK AVE, 3RD FL	5.779	251,733
97	1	3232 NEWMARK DRIVE	5.886	256,394
101.01	6.02	MAIN ST.& LAKESIDE AVE.	5.133	223,593
102	5	10 LYNWOOD WAY	6.24	271,814
91	1	MAIN ST.& LAKESIDE AVE.	10.46	455,638
93.01	1	1 PARK WAY	10.5	457,380
115	15	66 MAIN ST	9.034	393,521
125.02	106	66 MAIN ST	7.08	308,405
140.02	2	33 CLINTON ROAD	9.377	408,462
109	3	1 PARK WAY	14.47	630,313
107	1.04	BOLAND DRIVE	37.016	1,612,417
107	1.03	1240 HEADQUARTERS PLAZA	8.693	378,667
107	5	66 MAIN STREET	5.434	236,705
151	33	420 BOULEVARD STE #201	5.913	257,570
151	1	P O BOX 194	189.03	8,234,147
151	30	7902 WESTPARK DR	5.717	249,033
152.22	1413	WALDECK COURT	10.255	446,708
152.23	1434	300 PLEASANT VALLEY WAY	6.31	274,864
151	15.03	111 PROSPECT AVENUE	5.74	250,034
152.10	1	179 EAGLE ROCK AVE	9.66	420,790
151	17.01	111 PROSPECT AVENUE	8.29	361,112
152.01	1445.01	481 EAGLE ROCK AVENUE	11.07	482,209
152.01	1445	P.O. BOX 326	31.36	1,366,042
152.22	1428	350 PLEASANT VALLEY WAY	0.5702	24,838
154	20.01	179 EAGLE ROCK AVE	31.56	1,374,754
154.21	34	168 BUSINESS PARK DRIVE	9.71	422,968
154.19	6	66 MAIN STREET	9.7932	426,592
155	26.01	150 CIRCLE AVENUE	23.362	1,017,649

155.21	40	PO BOX 326	33.6	1,463,616
155	41.02	66 MAIN STREET	5.28	229,997
155	42.01	200 SHEFFIELD STREET #305	8.68	378,101
156	1	350 MT. PLEASANT AVENUE	175.771	7,656,585
157.01	11	115 CLIFTON AVENUE	163.339	7,115,047
160	10	66 MAIN STREET	114.94	5,006,786
164	25	115 CLIFTON AVENUE	102.34	4,457,930
167	1	115 CLIFTON AVE	127.88	5,570,453
169	1	401 EAST STATE STREET	52.4	2,282,544
161	1	115 CLIFTON AVENUE	140	6,098,400
163	2	115 CLIFTON AVENUE	14.18	617,681
162	2	29 NORTH DAY STREET	100	4,356,000
162	1	115 CLIFTON AVENUE	11.4	496,584
163	13	115 CLIFTON AVENUE	23.4	1,019,304
162	3	115 CLIFTON AVENUE	48.9	2,130,084
164	1.03	101 OLD SHORT HILL RDPH1	6.74	293,594
165.06	1	66 MAIN STREET	18.52	806,731
165.06	2	1050 GALLOPING HILL RD	15.58	678,665
165	15	901 ROUTE 10 EAST	2.36	102,802
166.15	11.03	747 NORTHFIELD AVENUE	6.99	304,484
166	14	374 MILLBURN AVE 4TH FLR	6.5	283,140
166.15	9	PO BOX 92129	4.92	214,315
166.01	20	115 CLIFTON AVENUE	8.17	355,885
166.13	1	SCHINDLER TERRACE	6.54	284,882
169.02	2	BEL AIRE AT WEST ORANGE	48.023	2,091,882
169.01	6.01	1 WHITBAY DRIVE	104.21	4,539,388
168	18.04	1418 PLEASANT VALLEY WAY	12.89	561,488
168	25	179 EAGLE ROCK AVE	10.07	438,649
168	18	1418 PLEASANT VALLEY WAY	0.1169	5,092
171	1	EGGERTS CROSSING- CN229	29.82	1,298,959
171	3	EGGERTS CROSSING- CN229	21.382	931,400
171	10	P.O. BOX 92129	34.47	1,501,513
171	12	901 RT 10	7.9	344,124
170.01	10	301 S. LIVINGSTON AVE#201	5.151	224,378
170	17	66 MAIN ST	28.74	1,251,914
170.02	5.01	66 MAIN STREET	37.027	1,612,896
170.03	1.01	115 CLIFTON AVENUE	11.21	488,308
170	8	457 OAK GLEN ROAD	6.5	283,140
169.02	1	179 EAGLE ROCK AVENUE	25.713	1,120,058
172	29	66 MAIN STREET	14.99	652,964

172	29.01	179 EAGLE ROCK AVENUE	9.967	434,163
172.25	23	66 MAIN STREET	6.288	273,905
172	29.02	66 MAIN STREET	6.813	296,774
172.22	6	66 MAIN ST	5.6	243,936
176.01	7	179 EAGLE ROCK AVENUE	6.26	272,686
174	1	66 MAIN STREET	94.999	4,138,156
174	1.01	750 EAGLE ROCK AVENUE	146.752	6,392,517
177.12	60	757 EAGLE ROCK AVENUE	32.995	1,437,262
177.08	20	285 ROOSEVELT AVE.	1.5599	67,949
178.01	1.01	1 REGER LANE	50	2,178,000
179	3	755 SECOND AVE	15.702	683,979
179	32	820 MORRIS TURNPIKE	120.496	5,248,806
177.01	25	219 DEVON ROAD	68.52	2,984,731
164	1.01	820 MORRIS TURNPIKE	47.02	2,048,191
120.02	1	P O BOX 728	32	1,393,920
150	1	115 CLIFTON AVENUE	364.34	15,870,650

 = Properties that meet lot area criteria and are located in districts that permit drive-up window-related businesses

Maximum Impervious Coverage: The project proposes an impervious surface coverage of **75.0%** where a maximum impervious coverage of 60% is permitted in the B-2 District. The existing site conditions have a non-conforming 80.9% impervious coverage which will be reduced through the proposed project but will remain non-compliant. The proposed coverage includes partial removal of the existing impervious surface for shrubs, screening trees and ornamental plantings along the south, east, and north of the subject property, thus substantially improving the existing conditions by providing a green buffer from the adjacent residential uses **whereas much of the existing tree canopy in these areas is compromised or dead due to disease.** The reduction in pervious coverage will improve the conditions along these property lines while also improving the aesthetic character of the site along the Eagle Rock Avenue corridor. Additionally, the proposed project will reinvigorate a vacant, deteriorating site along an active commercial corridor through its redevelopment and therefore the benefits of the proposed impervious coverage will outweigh the detriments.

Location of Parking in Front Yard: The project proposes parking in the front yard of the property, where off-street parking is only permitted in the rear or side yards in the B Districts per Section 25-12.1.I. The front yard parking is an existing non-conforming condition as the existing site shows 11 parking spaces fronting Eagle Rock Avenue. The additional parking spaces provided within the front yard are anticipated to be needed in order to provide adequate, compliant parking ~~may yield a slightly higher parking demand on site than a singular restaurant use.~~ **[Note that architectural testimony indicated a single restaurant use in this site could feasibly provide for a 200-seat operation. This alone would yield a parking requirement of 67 spaces per the ordinance, therefore exceeding the requirement of 49 spaces for the combined use as proposed.]** The proposed parking at the front will improve the existing condition by reducing the number of non-conforming spaces to a total of 10 spaces, including 2 ADA accessible spaces. New front yard landscaping will be added to help visually buffer this area from the roadway, and a painted crosswalk from this area to the front door of the proposed building will be added to ensure safe pedestrian access from the spaces to the restaurants. Additionally, other commercial properties along the corridor including the property immediately east of the site have existing non-conforming front yard parking, and therefore the variance will not create a substantial detriment to the existing corridor as it is consistent with other commercial properties. Overall, the benefits of the front yard parking location will outweigh the detriments.

Maximum Number of Façade Signs: The project proposes four façade signs for the fast-food restaurant and three façade signs for the full-service restaurant, where a maximum of one façade sign is permitted. The property is located on a corner lot, so the additional signs are intended to be visible from both rights-of-way to

improve wayfinding. Additionally, the proposed signs will only be visible to the north (Eagle Rock Avenue), west (Rosney Terrace), and east of the property and will not be visible to the residential properties to the south (rear). The proposed signage packages are consistent with the tenants, who are national brands and provide consistent signage and wayfinding across their locations. *Additionally, it is appropriate for signs to be located on multiple facades in the proposed configuration as the project has frontages on multiple rights-of-way and also maintains logo/branding for improved navigability related to the drive-through lane.*

Maximum Façade Sign Area: The project proposes a total sign area of 229 square feet for the two restaurant uses, where a maximum of 120 square feet is permitted. The total area is split among several (7) smaller signs as noted above and therefore the impact if the congregate sign area will not be felt at the level of numerical deviation from the standard. No one individual sign is in excess of the maximum sign area restriction and therefore the overall proposed sign package will present as consistent with the associated uses along a typical commercial roadway.

Concrete Curbing within 5 Feet of a Structure: §25-12.1.B mandates that no concrete or granite block curb may be within 5 feet of a structure whereas the project proposes a concrete curb directly abutting the building edge on the eastern façade. This curb lines the drive-thru window and aisle and is necessary to protect the structure and moving vehicles from clipping the building or drive-thru window when using the drive-thru lane. The benefits of prioritizing overall site safety and public welfare outweigh the detriments.

~~**Minimum Landscaping Abutting a Residential Zone:** The ordinance requires a landscape buffer along property lines where a nonresidential district and a residential district abut. §25-11.3a states that said landscape buffer should be no less than 20 feet, whereas the landscape buffer on the south (rear) property line abutting the R-6 zone provides a buffer of 8.8 feet. While the landscape buffer itself does not meet the 20-foot requirement, active site uses along this property line are buffered by an additional bypass drive lane at the rear of the property which will see minimal utilization throughout the day. This results in the nearest active site component, which is the drive thru lane, being setback 20.8 feet from the property line therefore meeting the intent of the requirement in minimizing impacts of the commercial use on the adjacent residential property.~~ *Per the most recent report from the Board's planner, the ordinance states that the required buffer is applicable when abutting a residential zone. The residential properties to the south are both existing non-conforming residential uses within the B-2 zone. The subject property does not share a property line with a residential zoning district, therefore the requirement is not applicable. For the Board's benefit, the revised plans provide a varying (and improved) landscape buffer of 11.8 FT to the southeast to 23.2 FT at the southwest of the site.*

Based on the February 22, 2026 hearing, the project team submitted an OPRA request on the two adjacent residential parcels to ensure neither was granted via a use variance as acknowledged in the ordinance. OPRA requests yielded no prior approval records for either property. Based on the Tax Cards for both properties, the single-family homes were constructed in 1965 (Lot 35, add. 9 Fungus Rd) and 1967 (Lot 1, add. 6 Rosney Ter) and likely predated the current B-2 zoning boundary which appears to be adopted in the 80's based on available Master Plan data on the Township's website. This indicates these parcels were intentionally included in and subsequently maintained in the B-2 district, and the residential buffer standard therefore is not applicable.

Loading Space: The ordinance requires the proposed project to provide one off-street loading space for the proposed uses. The current site plan does not provide a dedicated, striped loading space on the property but accommodates the off-street loading of the relevant truck model as made evident on sheet 19 of the Civil Site Plans, wherein circulation movements for a large delivery truck are provided. The deliveries associated with the proposed commercial uses will be scheduled in coordination with the hours of operation of the fast-food restaurant and restaurant to mitigate any circulation impacts. The delivery vehicle will not prevent the remainder of the site circulation from being functional in any way other than a temporary disruption to the front yard parking spaces.

~~**Existing Tree Canopy Preservation:** The ordinance requires that 50% of the tree canopy on each developed be preserved with each application that proposes tree removal and replacement. The project will not preserve any of the existing trees as they have been deemed invasive by the Township Zoning Board Engineer. The project proposes replacing the existing invasive trees with 53 new compliant trees. The proposed replacement is a significant improvement from the existing site conditions both aesthetically and performance-wise in terms of integrity of the tree canopy within the immediate proximity of the subject property and therefore the benefits outweigh the detriments.~~ *Per the revised civil plans and the increased landscape buffer at the rear yard, the project now complies with the Township's tree replacement requirements and proposes 53 new trees at 2.5" caliper (See civil sheet 4).*

Revisions to the proposed tree plan are under coordination with the Township Forester and will be addressed in testimony at the March 19, 2026 hearing. Pending additional testimony, Planning will address whether the variance relief is needed for this criteria and to what degree.

Parking Lot Shade: The ordinance requires that all parking lot owners shall create 50% shade in the parking lots within 25 years. The proposed tree canopy will not create 50% shade in the parking lot. The proposed tree

canopy is limited to perimeter landscaping as the majority of the site is occupied by the surface parking. The proposed landscaping plan will improve significantly upon the existing condition within the site *by providing internal planting areas throughout the “core” of the site where only impervious coverage exists today. and will provide an increased number of compliant trees than what is currently existing. The revised site plan now provides a conforming tree replacement ratio and therefore meets the intent of general canopy goals of the landscape ordinance, but positioning onsite does not allow for this to shade 50% of parking areas.* The benefits of the proposed landscaping plan outweigh the detriments.

Purpose of the Municipal Land Use Law

The granting of the variances will advance the purposes of the Municipal Land Use Law and promote the general welfare.

- Granting the requested variances will guide the appropriate use and development of the property in a manner that will promote the general welfare consistent with N.J.S.A. 40:55D-2.a. through the provision of an appropriate commercial building on a lot that is compliant with conditional uses of the B-2 District.
- The proposed project will provide adequate light, air, and open space; consistent with N.J.S.A. 40:55D-2.c.
- The proposed project will promote a more desirable visual environment through creative development techniques consistent with N.J.S.A. 40:55D-2.i. by redeveloping the property and substantially improving the Eagle Rock Avenue streetscape and commercial character.

Negative Criteria

Granting the variances will not result in a substantial detriment to the general welfare. The project proposes to demolish an existing condemned building and provides a particularly beneficial use that is similar to its previous commercial use in developing two restaurants for residents and consumers of the community with improve onsite infrastructure, landscaping, circulation and overall aesthetic appearance.

Granting the variances will likewise not result in a substantial impairment to the intent and purpose of the zone plan or zoning ordinance. The proposed fast-food restaurant-use is compliant with the conditions of the B-2 District.

The proposed project is also consistent with the 2019 Master Plan Update in advancing several goals/objectives of the 2019 Master Plan Reexamination, including;

- *Objective 9. “Promote a Desirable Visual Environment”*
- *Objective 16. “Encourage Economic Development and Redevelopment That Is Compatible with the Suburban Character of the Township*
- *Principle 2. “Properly Locate Public, Commercial/Retail, Industrial, Residential and Service Uses”*

CONCLUSION

The requested variances are justified and meet the positive and negative proof standards and therefore can be granted. The applicant reserves the right to supplement the foregoing reasons with expert testimony at the Planning Board Hearing.

Q:\PRJ\12210-001 470EagleRock\PL\Working Files\SPP\2026-01-09 Justifications Memo_470 Eagle Rock Avenue(WO)_Rev.docx